



# Multipolar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras

Y.B. Jun<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea

skywine@gmail.com

### Abstract

In this paper, we apply *m*-polar fuzzy set to hyper BCKalgebra. We introduce the notions of *k*-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal, *k*-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal, *k*-polar fuzzy *s*-weak hyper BCK-ideal, *k*-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal and *k*-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCKideal, and investigate related properties and their relations. We discuss *k*-polar fuzzy (weak, *s*-weak, strong, reflexive) hyper BCK-ideal in relation to *k*-polar level set.

### Article Information

Corresponding Author: Y.B. Jun; Received: December 2019; Accepted: Invited paper. Paper type: Original.

### Keywords:

Hyper BCK-algebra, *k*-polar fuzzy (weak, *s*-weak, strong, reflexive) hyper BCK-ideal.



# 1 Introduction

The hyper algebraic structure was introduced by F. Marty [14] in 1934. Bolurian et al. [5] was introduced hyper BCK-algebra as an extension of BCK-algebra. Since then, many scholars have been studying hyper BCK-algebra and its infrastructure and so on. In addition, research using fuzzy and soft set is actively being carried out (see [4], [7], [8], [9], [11]). In 2014, Chen et al. [6] introduced an *m*-polar fuzzy set which is an extension of bipolar fuzzy set. The *m*-polar fuzzy set applied to decision making problem (see [1]) and BCK/BCI-algebra (see [2, 3, 15]).

The notion of m-polar fuzzy set is applied to hyper BCK-algebra. The concepts of k-polar fuzzy (weak, s-weak, strong, reflexive) hyper BCK-ideal are introduced, and the relations and properties are investigated in relation to k-polar level set.

https://doi.org/10.29252/hatef.jahla.1.1.3

### 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a nonempty set endowed with a hyperoperation " $\circ$ ". For two subsets A and B of  $\mathcal{H}$ , denote by  $A \circ B$  the set  $\bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b$ . We shall use  $x \circ y$  instead of  $x \circ \{y\}, \{x\} \circ y$ , or  $\{x\} \circ \{y\}$ .

By a hyper BCK-algebra (see [13]) we mean a nonempty set  $\mathcal{H}$  endowed with a hyperoperation " $\circ$ " and a constant 0 satisfying the following axioms:

(HK1)  $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) \ll x \circ y$ ,

(HK2)  $(x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y$ ,

(HK3)  $x \circ \mathcal{H} \ll \{x\},\$ 

(HK4)  $x \ll y$  and  $y \ll x$  imply x = y,

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}$ , where  $x \ll y$  is defined by  $0 \in x \circ y$  and for every  $A, B \subseteq \mathcal{H}, A \ll B$  is defined by  $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in B$  such that  $a \ll b$ . In such case, we call " $\ll$ " the *hyperorder* in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Note that the condition (HK3) is equivalent to the condition:

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H})(x \circ y \ll \{x\}). \tag{1}$$

A subset A of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is called

• a hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [13]) if

$$0 \in A,\tag{2}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H})(x \circ y \ll A, y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A).$$
(3)

• a weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [13]) if it satisfies (2) and

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H})(x \circ y \subseteq A, y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A).$$
(4)

• a strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [12]) if it satisfies (2) and

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H})((x \circ y) \cap A \neq \emptyset, y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A).$$
(5)

• a reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [12]) if it is a hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{H})(x \circ x \subseteq A). \tag{6}$$

Every hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the following assertions.

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{H})(x \circ 0 \ll \{x\}, 0 \circ x = \{0\}, 0 \circ 0 = \{0\}),\tag{7}$$

- $(\forall x \in \mathcal{H})(0 \ll x, x \ll x, x \in x \circ 0), \tag{8}$
- $(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H})(x \circ 0 \ll \{y\} \Rightarrow x \ll y), \tag{9}$
- $(\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{H})(y \ll z \implies x \circ z \ll x \circ y), \tag{10}$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{H})(x \circ y = \{0\} \Rightarrow x \circ z \ll y \circ z, (x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) = \{0\}), \tag{11}$$

For any subsets A, B and C of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ , the following assertions are valid.

$$A \subseteq B \ \Rightarrow \ A \ll B,\tag{12}$$

$$A \ll \{0\} \Rightarrow A = \{0\},\tag{13}$$

$$A \ll A, A \circ B \ll A, (A \circ B) \circ C = (A \circ C) \circ B,$$
(14)

$$A \circ \{0\} = \{0\} \Rightarrow A = \{0\}.$$
(15)

For any family  $\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  of real numbers, we define

$$\bigvee \{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} := \begin{cases} \max\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is finite,} \\ \sup\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$\bigwedge \{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} := \begin{cases} \min\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is finite,} \\ \inf\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $\Lambda = \{1, 2\}$ , then we will also use  $a_1 \vee a_2$  and  $a_1 \wedge a_2$  instead of  $\bigvee \{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\bigwedge \{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ , respectively.

By a *k*-polar fuzzy set on a universe  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [6]), we mean a function  $\hat{\varphi} : \mathcal{H} \to [0,1]^k$ . The membership value of every element  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  is denoted by

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = (\pi_1 \circ \hat{\varphi}(x), \pi_2 \circ \hat{\varphi}(x), \cdots, \pi_k \circ \hat{\varphi}(x)),$$

where  $\pi_i : [0,1]^k \to [0,1]$  is the *i*-th projection for all  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ .

Given a k-polar fuzzy set on a universe  $\mathcal{H}$ , we consider the set

$$U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t}) := \{ x \in \mathcal{H} \mid \hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \hat{t} \},\tag{16}$$

where  $\hat{t} = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_k) \in [0, 1]^k$ , that is,

$$U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t}) := \{ x \in \mathcal{H} \mid (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge t_i \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \cdots, k \}$$
(17)

which is called a k-polar level set of  $\hat{\varphi}$ . It is clear that  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})^{i}$  where

 $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})^i = \{ x \in \mathcal{H} \mid (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge t_i \}.$ 

# 3 k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideals

**Definition 3.1.** A k-polar fuzzy set  $\hat{\varphi}$  on a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  if it satisfies

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) (x \ll y \Rightarrow \hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \hat{\varphi}(y)), \qquad (18)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) \left( \hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \left( \bigwedge \{ \hat{\varphi}(a) \mid a \in x \circ y \} \right) \land \hat{\varphi}(y) \right), \tag{19}$$

that is,  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $x \ll y$  and

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge \left( \bigwedge \{ (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \mid a \in x \circ y \} \right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$$
(20)

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{0, a, b\}$  be a set with the hyperoperation " $\circ$ " in the following Cayley table

0	0	a	b
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0,a\}$	$\{0,a\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{a,b\}$	$\{0, a, b\}$

Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is a hyper BCK-algebra (see [13]). Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a 4-polar fuzzy set on  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by

$$\hat{\varphi}: \mathcal{H} \to [0,1]^4, \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{n}, 0.9, \frac{1}{m-3}, 0.7\right) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2n}, 0.5, \frac{1}{2m-3}, 0.7\right) & \text{if } x = a, \\ \left(\frac{1}{3n}, 0.2, \frac{1}{3m-3}, 0.4\right) & \text{if } x = b \end{cases}$$

where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \neq 3$ . It is toutine to verify that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a 4-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ , then

- (1)  $(\forall x \in \mathcal{H})(\hat{\varphi}(0) \ge \hat{\varphi}(x))$ , that is,  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(0) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ ,
- (2) If  $\hat{\varphi}$  satisfies the condition

$$(\forall T \subseteq \mathcal{H}) \left( \exists x_0 \in T \text{ s.t. } \hat{\varphi}(x_0) = \bigwedge_{x \in T} \hat{\varphi}(x) \right),$$
(21)

then

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) (\exists a \in x \circ y \text{ s.t. } \hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \hat{\varphi}(a) \land \hat{\varphi}(y)), \qquad (22)$$

that is, for every  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  there exists  $a \in x \circ y$  such that

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $0 \ll x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , it follows from (18) that  $\hat{\varphi}(0) \ge \hat{\varphi}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

(2) Assume that  $\hat{\varphi}$  satisfies the condition (21). For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $a_0 \in x \circ y$  such that  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a_0) = \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a)$ . It follows from (20) that

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge \left( \bigwedge \{ (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \mid a \in x \circ y \} \right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) = (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a_0) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . which proves (2).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a k-polar fuzzy set in a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $\hat{t} \in [0,1]^k$ . It is clear that  $0 \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be such that  $x \circ y \ll U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and  $y \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . Then  $x \circ y \ll U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})^i$  and  $y \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})^i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . It follows that

$$(\forall a \in x \circ y) \left( \exists a_0 \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})^i \text{ s.t. } a \ll a_0 \text{ and so } (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a_0) \right),$$

which implies that  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge t_i$  for all  $a \in x \circ y$ . Hence  $\bigwedge_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge t_i$ , and so

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge \left( \bigwedge \{ (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \mid a \in x \circ y \} \right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) \ge t_i$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . Thus  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})^i = U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ , and therefore  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ .

In order to consider the converse of Theorem 3.4, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5** ([10]). Let A be a subset of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ . If K is a hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $A \ll K$ , then A is contained in K.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a k-polar fuzzy set in a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  in which the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ . Then  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ . Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be such that  $x \ll y$  and  $\hat{\varphi}(y) = \hat{t}$ . Then  $y \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and so  $\{x\} \ll U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . It follows from Lemma 3.5 that  $\{x\} \subseteq U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ , i.e.,  $x \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . Hence  $\hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \hat{t} = \hat{\varphi}(y)$ . For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

let  $\hat{t} := \left(\bigwedge_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a)\right) \land \hat{\varphi}(y)$ . Then  $y \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and

$$\hat{\varphi}(c) \ge \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a) \ge \left(\bigwedge_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a)\right) \land \hat{\varphi}(y) = \hat{t}$$

for all  $c \in x \circ y$ , i.e.,  $c \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . Thus  $x \circ y \subseteq U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and so  $x \circ y \ll U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  by (12). Since  $y \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ , we have  $x \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  which implies that  $\hat{\varphi}(x) \geq \hat{t} = \left(\bigwedge_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a)\right) \land \hat{\varphi}(y)$ . Therefore  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.7.** A k-polar fuzzy set  $\hat{\varphi}$  on a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a

- k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  if it satisfies Proposition 3.3(1) and (19).
- k-polar fuzzy s-weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  if it satisfies Proposition 3.3(1) and (22).
- k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of H if it satisfies

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) \left( \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} \hat{\varphi}(a) \ge \hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \left( \bigvee_{b \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(b) \right) \land \hat{\varphi}(y) \right),$$
(23)

that is,  $\bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge \left(\bigvee_{b \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(b)\right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \cdots, k.$ 

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{0, a, b\}$  be a set with the hyperoperation " $\circ$ " in the following Cayley table

0	0	a	b
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{a\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{0,b\}$

Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is a hyper BCK-algebra (see [13]). Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a 4-polar fuzzy set on  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by

$$\hat{\varphi}: \mathcal{H} \to [0,1]^4, \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} \left(2\pi, \mu(x), \frac{1}{m+3}, 0.8\right) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \left(\pi, \mu(2x), \frac{1}{m+5}, 0.7\right) & \text{if } x = a, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\pi, \mu(3x), \frac{1}{m+7}, 0.4\right) & \text{if } x = b \end{cases}$$

where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ ,  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ . It is toutine to verify that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a 4-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The following theorem describes the relation between k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal and k-polar fuzzy s-weak hyper BCK-ideal.

**Theorem 3.9.** In a hyper BCK-algebra, every k-polar fuzzy s-weak hyper BCK-ideal is a k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal.

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a k-polar fuzzy s-weak hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists  $a \in x \circ y$  such that  $\hat{\varphi}(x) \geq \hat{\varphi}(a) \wedge \hat{\varphi}(y)$  by (22). Since  $\hat{\varphi}(a) \geq \bigwedge_{b \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(b)$ ,

it follows that

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \left( \bigwedge \{ \hat{\varphi}(b) \mid b \in x \circ y \} \right) \land \hat{\varphi}(y)$$

Therefore  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies the condition (21). Then  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy s-weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $a_0 \in x \circ y$  such that  $\hat{\varphi}(a_0) = \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a)$ , that is,  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a_0) = \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a)$  by (21). It follows that

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge \left( \bigwedge \{ (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \mid a \in x \circ y \} \right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) = (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a_0) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y).$$

Therefore  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy s-weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** Every k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal  $\hat{\varphi}$  of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the following assertions.

- (1)  $(\forall x \in \mathcal{H})(\hat{\varphi}(0) \ge \hat{\varphi}(x))$ , that is,  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(0) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ ,
- (2)  $(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H})(x \ll y \Rightarrow \hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \hat{\varphi}(y))$ , that is,  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $x \ll y$  and  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ .
- (3)  $(\forall a, x, y \in \mathcal{H}) (a \in x \circ y \Rightarrow \hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \hat{\varphi}(a) \land \hat{\varphi}(y)).$

*Proof.* (1) Since  $0 \in x \circ x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , we get

$$\hat{\varphi}(0) \ge \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} \hat{\varphi}(a) \ge \hat{\varphi}(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

(2) Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be such that  $x \ll y$ . Then  $0 \in x \circ y$  and thus  $\bigvee_{b \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(b) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(0)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . It follows from (1) that

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge \left(\bigvee_{b \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(b)\right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(0) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) = (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y),$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , that is,  $\hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \hat{\varphi}(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $x \ll y$ .

(3) Let  $a, x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be such that  $a \in x \circ y$ . Then

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge \left(\bigvee_{b \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(b)\right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y),$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Hence  $\hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \hat{\varphi}(a) \land \hat{\varphi}(y)$  for all  $a, x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $a \in x \circ y$ .

**Corollary 3.12.** If  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ , then

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) \left( \hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \hat{\varphi}(y) \land \left( \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a) \right) \right)$$

*Proof.* It is straightforward by Proposition 3.11(3).

**Corollary 3.13.** Every k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal is a k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal and a k-polar fuzzy s-weak hyper BCK-ideal (and hence a k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal).

In general, a k-polar fuzzy (weak) hyper BCK-ideal may not be a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal. In fact, the 4-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal  $\hat{\varphi}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  in Example 3.2 is not a 4-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  since

$$(\pi_3 \circ \hat{\varphi})(b) = \frac{1}{3m-3} < \frac{1}{2m-3} = (\pi_3 \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) = (\pi_3 \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \land \bigvee_{x \in b \circ a} (\pi_3 \circ \hat{\varphi})(x).$$

It is clear that every k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is a k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ . But the converse is not true in general as seen in the following example.

**Example 3.14.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{0, a, b\}$  be a hyper BCK-algebra as in Example 3.2. Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a 3-polar fuzzy set on  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by

$$\hat{\varphi}: \mathcal{H} \to [0,1]^3, \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} \left(5n, \frac{1}{m-3}, 0.7\right) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \left(n, \frac{1}{3m-3}, 0.1\right) & \text{if } x = a, \\ \left(3n, \frac{1}{2m-3}, 0.5\right) & \text{if } x = b \end{cases}$$

where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \neq 3$ . Then  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a 3-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ . But it is not a 3-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  since  $a \ll b$  and  $\hat{\varphi}(a) \not\geq \hat{\varphi}(b)$ .

By the simiar way to the proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 3.6, we have a characterization of a k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal.

**Theorem 3.15.** Given a k-polar fuzzy set  $\hat{\varphi}$  in a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ , the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- (2) The k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a weak hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ .

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a k-polar fuzzy set in a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ .

Proof. Assume that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $\hat{t} \in [0,1]^k$  be such that the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is nonempty. Then there exists  $a \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and so  $\hat{\varphi}(a) \geq \hat{t}$ , that is,  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \geq t_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . It is clear that  $0 \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  by Proposition 3.11(1). Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be such that  $y \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and  $(x \circ y) \cap U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $a_0 \in (x \circ y) \cap U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and so  $\hat{\varphi}(a_0) \geq \hat{t}$ , i.e.,  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a_0) \geq t_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . It follows that

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge \left(\bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a)\right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a_0) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) \ge t_i$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Hence  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})^{i} = U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . Therefore  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 3.17.** Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a k-polar fuzzy set on a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies the condition

$$(\forall T \subseteq \mathcal{H}) \left( \exists x_0 \in T \text{ s.t. } \hat{\varphi}(x_0) = \bigvee_{x \in T} \hat{\varphi}(x) \right).$$
(24)

If the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ , then  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Proof. Assume that the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ . Then  $x \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , and so  $x \circ x \ll \{x\} \subseteq U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . This implies from Lemma 3.5 that  $x \circ x \subseteq U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . Hence for every  $a \in x \circ x$ , we get  $a \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and so  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge t_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . It follows that

$$\bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge t_i = (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , put  $\hat{d} := \left(\bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a)\right) \land \hat{\varphi}(y)$ , that is,  $d_i := \left(\bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a)\right) \land \hat{\varphi}(y)$ .

 $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . Then  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{d})$  is a strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  by hypothesis. The condition (24) implies that there exists  $a_0 \in x \circ y$  such that  $\hat{\varphi}(a_0) = \bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a)$ , i.e.,

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a_0) = \bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, k. \text{ Hence}$$
$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a_0) = \bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge \left(\bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a)\right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) = d_i$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ , which implies that  $a_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{d})^i = U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{d})$ . Hence  $(x \circ y) \cap U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{d}) \neq \emptyset$ , and thus  $x \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{d})$ . It follows that

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge d_i = \left(\bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a)\right) \land (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Therefore  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 3.18.** A k-polar fuzzy set  $\hat{\varphi}$  on a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a k-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) \left( \hat{\varphi}(y) \le \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} \hat{\varphi}(a) \right), \tag{25}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) \left( \hat{\varphi}(x) \ge \left( \bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a) \right) \land \hat{\varphi}(y) \right),$$
(26)

that is,  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) \leq \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a)$  and  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \geq \left(\bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a)\right) \wedge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \cdots, k.$ 

The following theorem is straightforward.

**Theorem 3.19.** Every k-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 3.20.** If  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  by Theorem 3.19, and so  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ . It follows from Theorem 3.4 that the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0,1]^k$ . Let  $\hat{t} \in [0,1]^k$  be such that  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is nonempty. Then  $\hat{\varphi}(c) \geq \hat{t}$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{H}$ . For any  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $b \in x \circ x$ . The condition (25) implies that  $\hat{\varphi}(b) \ge \bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} \hat{\varphi}(a) \ge \hat{\varphi}(c) \ge \hat{t}$ , that is,  $b \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . Thus  $x \circ x \subseteq U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , and therefore

 $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ .

**Lemma 3.21** ([12]). Every reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is a strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In order to consider the converse of Theorem 3.20, we need an additional condition.

**Theorem 3.22.** Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a k-polar fuzzy set on a hyper BCK-algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies the condition (24). If the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^k$ , then  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that the k-polar level set  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $\hat{t} \in$  $[0,1]^k$ . Then  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  by Lemma 3.21. Using Theorem 3.17, we know that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  and so (26) is valid. Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ 

and  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) = t_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Since  $U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  is a reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ , we get  $x \circ x \subseteq U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  and thus  $c \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$  for all  $c \in x \circ x$ . Hence  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(c) \ge t_i$  which implies that

$$\bigwedge_{c\in x\circ x} (\pi_i\circ \hat{\varphi})(c) \geq t_i = (\pi_i\circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Therefore  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 3.23.** Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies the condition (24). Then  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $\bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(0)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ .

Proof. Assume that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies the condition (24). The necessity is clear. Assume that  $\bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(0)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . Since  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  by Corollary 3.13, we have  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(0) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . It follows that  $\bigwedge_{a \in x \circ x} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a) \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a)$  is a strong hyper  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ , let  $t_i := (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) \land (\bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a))$ . The condition (24) implies that there exists  $a_0 \in x \circ y$  such that  $\hat{\varphi}(a_0) = \bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} \hat{\varphi}(a)$  and so  $\hat{\varphi}(a_0) \ge \hat{t}$ , i.e.,  $a_0 \in U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t})$ . Hence  $(x \circ y) \cap U(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{t}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(x) \ge t_i = (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(y) \land (\bigvee_{a \in x \circ y} (\pi_i \circ \hat{\varphi})(a))$ . Therefore  $\hat{\varphi}$  is a k-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCK-ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

# 4 Conclusion

We have applied the *m*-polar fuzzy set to hyper BCK-algebra. We have introduced the notions of k-polar fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal, k-polar fuzzy weak hyper BCK-ideal, k-polar fuzzy strong hyper BCK-ideal and k-polar fuzzy reflexive hyper BCK-ideal, and have investigated related properties and their relations. We have discussed k-polar fuzzy (weak, s-weak, strong, reflexive) hyper BCK-ideal in relation to k-polar level set. In the future work, we will use the idea and results in this paper to study hyper MV-algebra, hyper hoop, hyper equality algebra, hyper BCI-algebra etc.

### References

- M. Akram, N. Waseem, P. Liu, Novel approach in decision making with m-polar fuzzy ELECTRE-I, International Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 21(4) (2019), 1117–1129.
- [2] A. Al-Masarwah, A.G. Ahmad, *m-polar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras*, Journal of King Saud University-Science, 31 (2019), 1220–1226.
- [3] A. Al-Masarwah, A.G. Ahmad, m-polar (α, β)-fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, Symmetry 2019, 11, 44; doi:10.3390/sym11010044.

- [4] M. Bakhshi, M.M. Zahedi, R.A. Borzooei, Fussy (positive, weak) implicative hyper BCKideals, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 1(2) (2004), 63–79.
- [5] M. Bolurian, A. Hasankhani, Hyper BCK-algebra, Ratio Mathematica, 12 (1997), 97–106.
- [6] J. Chen, S. Li, S. Ma, X. Wang, *m-polar fuzzy sets: An extension of bipolar fuzzy sets*, Scientific World Journal Volume 2014, Article ID 416530, 8 pages.
- [7] Y.B. Jun, W.H. Shim, Fuzzy implicative hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 29(2) (2001), 63–70.
- [8] Y.B. Jun, W.H. Shim, Fuzzy strong implicative hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras, Information Sciences, 170 (2005), 351361.
- Y.B. Jun, S.Z. Song, Hyper BCK-ideals based on soft set theory, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 9(3) (2016), 1650065 (12 pages).
- [10] Y.B. Jun, X.L. Xin, Scalar elements and hyperatoms of hyper-BCK-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae, 2(3) (1999), 303–309.
- Y.B. Jun, X.L. Xin, Fuzzy hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, 53(2) (2001), 353–360.
- [12] Y.B. Jun, X.L. Xin, E.H. Roh, M.M. Zahedi, Strong hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras, Mathematica Japonica, 51(3) (2000), 493–498.
- [13] Y.B. Jun, M.M. Zahedi, X.L. Xin, R.A. Borzooei, On hyper BCK-algebras, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 8 (2000), 127–136.
- [14] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groups, 8th Congress Search Results Web results Mathematical Scandinaves, Stockholm, (1934), 45–49.
- [15] M. Mohseni Takallo, S.S. Ahn, R.A. Borzooei, Y.B. Jun, Multipolar fuzzy p-ideals of BCIalgebras, Mathematics 2019, 7, 1094; doi:10.3390/math7111094.